



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/630,731

07/31/2003

Takenori Yoshizawa

0717-0513P

9350

2292 7590 09/23/2009  
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH  
PO BOX 747  
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747

EXAMINER

WUJCIAK, ALFRED J

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3632

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

09/23/2009

ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/630,731	<b>Applicant(s)</b> YOSHIZAWA, TAKENORI	
	<b>Examiner</b> Alfred Joseph Wujciak III	<b>Art Unit</b> 3632	

  

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Alfred Joseph Wujciak III. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Robert Downs. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 18 September 2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 9.

Identification of prior art discussed: US Patent # 3,695,424 to Cristy et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner proposed to change claim 1, line 2, "adapted to mount" to --- supports--- to overcome the 112 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph rejection and the examiner proposed to add the language ---wherein, the display substrate contacts the bottom section--- in claim 1, line 3 after the words "bottom section" to overcome the prior art rejection. The applicant will check with the client prior filing amendment.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Alfred Joseph Wujciak III/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3632	
--	--